


REMARKS

The claims have been edited for clarification. Applicant has not made any change which in any way is related to the cited prior art.

The examiner has rejected the claims as anticipated or as being obvious over Juvinal. Juvinal discloses a light source that has a single brightness level. It is shown as a single light bulb. It is accordingly impossible for the Juvinal light source to anticipate claim 1. Claim 1 clearly provides that it is the illumination area of the light source that has a variety of intensities. Claim 1 originally provided for "means for defining a ... continuously varying intensity ... on said light source illumination area" Amended claim one says the same thing with pieces being rearranged for clarity. Juvinal achieves a variety of intensities by interposing a special filter between the light source and the object. Juvinal accordingly does not teach the claimed invention nor does Juvinal make obvious the claimed invention. Juvinal is just a different solution.

It is accordingly believed that this application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Spencer T. Smith
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 25,926

March 8, 2001

Emhart Glass Manufacturing Inc.
123 Day Hill Road/P.O.Box 700
Windsor, Connecticut 06095
860-285-7565 (Fax-7580)